www.ijarp.com ISSN 2456-9992 Page: 01-16

International Journal Advanced Research Publications

Research Article

Volume: 02
Issue: 11

NATURAL PRESERVATIVES IN COSMETIC FORMULATIONS: OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES

Tejas Zade*[1], Zahir Kazi[1], Siddhi Yele[1], Yogita Bhingole[2], Tushar Shelke[3]

[1]Student, Department of Pharmacy, Genba Sopanrao Moze College of Pharmacy, Wagholi, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

[2]Assistant Professor, Department of pharmaceutical chemistry, Genba Sopanrao Moze College of Pharmacy, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

[3]Principal, Genba Sopanrao Moze College of Pharmacy, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

Article Received: 02 October 2026, Article Revised: 21 October 2026, Published on: 11 November 2026

***Corresponding Author: Tejas Zade**

Student, Department of Pharmacy, Genba Sopanrao Moze College of Pharmacy, Wagholi,

Doi: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijarp.6767

ABSTRACT

Cosmetic products can become contaminated with microorganisms, particularly when their formulations contain water and other substances that support microbial growth. For this reason, an effective preservation system is essential for maintaining product safety, quality and shelf life [1]. In recent years, increasing consumer interest in naturally derived ingredients has encouraged researchers to investigate plant extracts, essential oils, organic acids, polyphenols, antimicrobial peptides and fermentation-derived substances for their potential use in cosmetic preservation [2,3].

Apart from their antimicrobial properties, some of these ingredients may offer additional benefits, including antioxidant, soothing and anti-inflammatory effects. However, their effectiveness is influenced by several factors, such as chemical composition, concentration, formulation pH and compatibility with other ingredients [1–3]. Despite their natural origin, these substances cannot automatically be considered safe or effective preservatives. Variations in raw-material composition, stability, odour, colour, solubility and the possibility of skin sensitisation may restrict their application [1–3].

Studies have demonstrated that certain natural-origin antimicrobial ingredients can satisfy preservative-efficacy requirements under specific experimental conditions. However, their activity may differ depending on the microorganism being tested and the formulation used [4]. This review discusses the importance of preservation in cosmetics, major categories of

natural antimicrobial ingredients, their possible mechanisms of action, formulation applications and associated limitations. It also highlights safety requirements and the importance of evaluating antimicrobial protection in the finished product. Overall, naturally derived ingredients offer potential for cosmetic preservation, although their suitability must be established through formulation-specific testing and validation [1,4,5].

KEYWORDS: Natural preservatives; Cosmetic formulations; Antimicrobial activity; Essential oils; Botanical extracts; Preservative-efficacy testing.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cosmetic products are commonly applied to the skin, hair, nails and other external parts of the body for purposes such as cleansing, perfuming, protecting and improving appearance. Many of these products contain water along with organic and inorganic ingredients. Such compositions can create conditions that allow microorganisms to survive or multiply, making microbial control an important consideration during manufacturing, storage and use [1,5].

Microbial contamination is a concern not only because it can affect consumer health but also because it may reduce the overall quality of a cosmetic preparation. Contamination can lead to noticeable changes in colour, odour, texture and appearance. Certain microorganisms may also cause health-related problems, particularly when contaminated products are applied near sensitive body areas or to damaged skin [5,6].

Maintaining the microbiological quality of cosmetics involves more than simply adding an antimicrobial substance. An effective preservation strategy begins with good manufacturing practices and includes the selection of suitable raw materials, hygienic production conditions, appropriate packaging and microbiological quality assessment. The finished product must also be evaluated to determine whether its preservation system provides sufficient protection throughout its intended shelf life [1,5]. When selecting a preservative, factors such as antimicrobial spectrum, effective concentration, formulation compatibility, stability, safety and regulatory requirements need to be considered [1,5].

Conventional preservatives have been widely used to protect cosmetic formulations against microbial growth. However, increasing consumer interest in products containing naturally derived ingredients has encouraged researchers to explore alternative and complementary preservation approaches [1,2]. Various studies have investigated plant extracts, essential oils, polyphenols, antimicrobial peptides and substances obtained through microbial fermentation for their possible antimicrobial applications [2,3]. Some of these ingredients may also provide

antioxidant, soothing or anti-inflammatory effects, which could offer additional benefits in cosmetic formulations [2,7].

It is important to recognise that the term natural preservative refers to a broad group of chemically different substances. These ingredients do not necessarily have the same antimicrobial effectiveness or safety profile. For example, the composition of a plant extract may change depending on the species, plant part, cultivation conditions, harvesting period and extraction technique. Similarly, essential oils may contain different proportions of volatile compounds depending on their botanical source and processing conditions [2,3].

Consequently, antimicrobial activity demonstrated in a laboratory experiment does not necessarily mean that the same ingredient will successfully preserve every cosmetic formulation. Its effectiveness must be evaluated in the actual product in which it is intended to be used [1,4].

2. REVIEW METHODOLOGY

This narrative review is based on published research articles, review papers, an experimental investigation of natural-origin antimicrobial ingredients in a cosmetic emulsion and an international standard related to the evaluation of antimicrobial protection in cosmetics.

The main literature considered includes the review by Halla and colleagues on cosmetic preservation strategies, the work of Rybczyńska-Tkaczyk and colleagues on natural antimicrobial compounds used in cosmetics, Herman's discussion of antimicrobial ingredients and self-preserving cosmetic products, and the experimental study conducted by Kočevar Glavač and colleagues [1–4]. These publications provide information on the different types of antimicrobial ingredients, their possible applications and the challenges associated with their use.

The review also considers ISO 11930:2019, which provides guidance for assessing the antimicrobial protection of cosmetic products [8]. The discussion focuses on the relationship between ingredient-level antimicrobial activity, performance in model formulations and the effectiveness of preservation systems in finished cosmetic products. These levels of evidence should be considered separately because laboratory activity alone cannot establish the effectiveness of a complete cosmetic preservation system [1,4].

3. Why Cosmetic Products Require Preservation

Water plays an important role in determining the microbial stability of many cosmetic products. When sufficient water and other favourable conditions are present, microorganisms

may survive and multiply within a formulation [1,5]. Products such as creams, lotions, shampoos, gels and liquid cleansers commonly contain water along with ingredients that may contribute to microbial growth [1,5].

Microbial contamination can enter a cosmetic product at different stages of its life cycle. Possible sources include contaminated raw materials, manufacturing equipment, filling processes, packaging and repeated contact during consumer use [1,5,6]. Therefore, microbial protection needs to be considered throughout product development, manufacturing, storage and application.

The selection of a preservation system should be based on the complete formulation rather than the antimicrobial activity of an individual ingredient. Several formulation characteristics can influence preservative performance, including pH, oil–water distribution, surfactants, emulsifiers, thickeners and humectants. These components may affect the availability and activity of antimicrobial substances within the product [1]. Packaging and consumer handling can further influence the possibility of contamination during storage and use [1,5].

Microbiological quality testing and preservative-efficacy testing are related, but they serve different purposes. Microbial-limit testing determines whether a product meets specified microbiological quality requirements. In comparison, a preservative-efficacy test, commonly known as a challenge test, examines the ability of a formulation to control microorganisms introduced deliberately under defined experimental conditions [1,13].

ISO 11930:2019 provides a framework for interpreting preservative-efficacy results and considering microbiological risk assessment when determining the overall antimicrobial protection of a cosmetic product [8,13]. Both aspects are important when evaluating whether a formulation is adequately protected against microbial contamination.

4. Major Groups of Natural Preservative Ingredients

4.1 Organic Acids and Their Salts

Organic acids show quite clearly why natural preservation is rarely simple: the same ingredient can work well in one product and barely at all in another. Weak acids such as benzoic and sorbic acid do their job mainly in the undissociated form, and the share of acid in that form drops quickly once the pH climbs above the acid's pKa [1]. Benzoic acid has a pKa of about 4.20 and sorbic acid about 4.76 at 25 °C [14,15]. So a cream sitting near skin-neutral pH may hold very little active acid, while a more acidic formula may preserve better but be a poor fit for the intended use or clash with other ingredients [1,4]. For that reason, results

cannot simply be carried over from one product to the next; each formulation needs its own challenge test [4,8].

A food-microbiology study shows the effect clearly. Seo et al. measured broth MICs for 57 microorganisms and found that, for Campylobacter coli, benzoic acid needed 750 ppm at pH 7.0 but only 200 ppm at pH 6.0, while sorbic acid needed 250 ppm at pH 7.0 against 100 ppm at pH 6.0 [16]. The surrounding product mattered too: in a ground meat product at about pH 6.0, the MICs of benzoic and sorbic acid were above 1,500 and 1,200 ppm, whereas the test bacteria were inhibited at 500 ppm or less in broth at the same pH [16]. This work comes from food rather than cosmetics, but it shows why pH and the surrounding product have to be considered together [16].

4.2 Essential Oils

Essential oils are aromatic, volatile mixtures obtained from different parts of plants. They have received considerable attention in cosmetic research because of their reported antimicrobial properties and potential applications in personal-care products [1–3,12].

Their antimicrobial effects are generally associated with the combined activity of several chemical constituents rather than a single compound. These constituents may include terpenes and oxygen-containing compounds, which can contribute to the biological activity of the oil [2,3,12]. For this reason, essential oils are considered an important category of naturally derived ingredients being investigated for cosmetic antimicrobial applications [2]. Another advantage of essential oils is that they may contribute fragrance and other biological properties alongside their antimicrobial effects [1,2]. However, their incorporation into cosmetic formulations is not always straightforward. Their volatile nature, strong odour, poor water solubility and tendency to partition into the oil phase may create formulation difficulties. Furthermore, some essential oils may lose part of their activity when diluted or incorporated into a particular formulation [1].



Figure 1: Essential oils and organic acids used as preservatives.

The chemical composition of an essential oil can also vary according to the plant source and processing method. Such variations may affect its antimicrobial performance, making quality control and standardisation important for consistent results [2,3].

The addition of essential oil to a cosmetic product should not be considered sufficient evidence of preservation. Its concentration, distribution within the formulation, compatibility with emulsifiers and other ingredients, and activity against relevant microorganisms must be evaluated in the finished product [1,4].

4.3 Botanical Extracts and Polyphenolic Compounds

Botanical extracts are obtained from different plant parts using suitable extraction procedures. Their chemical composition is influenced by several factors, including plant species, the part selected for extraction, the solvent used and the extraction conditions [2,3,11].

Polyphenolic compounds are among the naturally occurring substances being investigated for their antimicrobial potential. Certain members of this group may also provide antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects, which can be useful in cosmetic and skin-care formulations [2,7]. Research by Rybczyńska-Tkaczyk and colleagues identifies plant extracts and polyphenols as important areas of investigation in the development of natural antimicrobial ingredients for cosmetics [2].

One advantage of botanical extracts is their potential to provide more than one biological function. However, their activity should not be assumed to be identical across different plant

species or extraction preparations [2,3]. Even extracts obtained from the same plant may show differences in their chemical composition and concentration of active constituents. These differences can influence the reproducibility of their antimicrobial effects [2,3].

Examples of plant materials discussed in cosmetic literature include rosemary, green tea and other plants containing polyphenolic compounds. Their use in cosmetic preparations may be associated with antioxidant, skin-care or antimicrobial properties [2,7]. Nevertheless, the antimicrobial effectiveness of a particular extract needs to be supported by experimental evidence. Traditional use or findings obtained from a different plant preparation are not sufficient to establish its preservative potential [2,4].

Quality control is also necessary for botanical raw materials. Their natural origin does not eliminate the possibility of microbial contamination, chemical impurities or unwanted constituents. Therefore, appropriate quality assessment is required before their incorporation into cosmetic products [1,3].

4.4 Fermentation-Derived Ingredients and Microbial Products

Microorganisms can produce several substances during fermentation that may have antimicrobial properties or support the preservation of cosmetic formulations. These substances have been discussed in research on cosmetic antimicrobial ingredients alongside plant-derived and conventional antimicrobial compounds [3].

Fermentation-derived materials may contain organic acids and other microbial metabolites. However, their usefulness depends on their actual composition, purity, concentration and activity against relevant microorganisms [3,4].

The properties of these ingredients may vary according to the microorganism used for production, fermentation conditions, processing procedures and storage. Therefore, a fermentation filtrate or microbial lysate should not automatically be regarded as a complete preservative system. Its performance must be established through appropriate testing in the intended cosmetic formulation [1,3].

4.5 Antimicrobial Peptides and Multifunctional Ingredients

Antimicrobial peptides are an interesting group. They are thought to interact with microbial cell structures and disturb normal cell function, but how well they work depends on the peptide sequence, the concentration and the surrounding conditions [2,3]. Using them in a real product is harder than it sounds, because stability, compatibility with the formulation, cost and safety all have to be sorted out first [1,3].

Not every helpful ingredient is sold as a preservative. Chelating agents, surfactants, humectants and some phenolic compounds can all lend a hand [1]. Humectants at suitable

levels may lower the amount of water that microbes can actually use, and some surfactants may act on microbial membranes or change how other antimicrobials behave [1].

Still, these are best seen as helpers in a multi-hurdle approach, not stand-ins for a validated preservative system. What each one contributes depends on the formulation, so it should be judged as part of the whole system [1].

5. Proposed Antimicrobial Mechanisms

Natural antimicrobial ingredients include several chemically distinct groups, such as organic acids, essential oils, polyphenols and antimicrobial peptides. Because of these differences, they do not act through a single common mechanism. Their effects depend on chemical structure, formulation conditions and the susceptibility of the microorganism involved [1–3].

Weak organic acids mainly exert their antimicrobial effects through a mechanism associated with their undissociated form. At a suitable pH, the undissociated acid can enter microbial cells and interfere with intracellular conditions. This explains why the antimicrobial performance of these compounds is closely related to formulation pH [1].

Essential oils and their individual constituents may affect microorganisms through interactions with the cell membrane. Such interactions can disturb membrane structure and interfere with normal membrane functions, contributing to antimicrobial activity [2,3].

Polyphenolic compounds may influence microbial growth through different biochemical and cellular interactions. However, the precise effects can differ between individual compounds and experimental systems. Therefore, the activity of one polyphenol should not be generalised to the entire group [2,3].

Antimicrobial peptides may interact with microbial membranes or other cellular targets. Their precise mechanism depends on the particular peptide and the experimental conditions under which its activity is studied [2,3].

Understanding these proposed mechanisms helps explain why different natural compounds may show antimicrobial activity. However, knowledge of a possible mechanism does not establish that an ingredient can adequately preserve a cosmetic product. This can only be determined through suitable efficacy testing of the finished formulation [1,4].

Table 1 summarises the main classes of natural antimicrobial ingredients, their proposed mechanisms and their principal limitations.

Table 1: Natural antimicrobial ingredient classes, proposed mechanisms and limitations.

Class	Proposed mechanism	Main limitation
Organic acids and salts	Undissociated acid enters the cell and disrupts internal conditions [1]	Effective only at suitable pH [1]
Essential oils	Membrane disruption by mixed constituents [2,3]	Volatility, odour, partitioning into the oil phase [1]
Botanical extracts and polyphenols	Varied biochemical and cellular interactions [2,3]	Batch variability and need for standardisation [2,3]
Fermentation-derived ingredients	Microbial metabolites, including organic acids [3]	Composition and purity vary; not a complete system on their own [3,4]
Antimicrobial peptides	Interaction with membranes or other cellular targets [2,3]	Stability, cost and safety [1,3]

6. Opportunities for Cosmetic Formulation

The increasing interest in naturally derived cosmetic ingredients has created opportunities for investigating natural antimicrobial substances as part of cosmetic preservation strategies [2]. Certain ingredients may offer multiple functions, including antimicrobial, antioxidant, soothing and anti-inflammatory activities. This multifunctional potential may allow them to contribute to more than one formulation objective [1,2].

Another area of interest is the combination of different antimicrobial ingredients. When selected appropriately, individual substances may provide complementary effects and support a broader preservation strategy. Cosmetic preservation literature discusses the use of ingredient combinations and multifunctional substances as possible approaches to improving antimicrobial protection [1].

However, combining natural ingredients does not automatically improve preservative performance. Interactions between different compounds may be beneficial, neutral or even reduce antimicrobial activity. Therefore, the effectiveness of each combination must be demonstrated experimentally in the intended formulation [1,4].

Encapsulation and suitable carrier systems are also being explored for incorporating natural substances into cosmetic preparations [1]. These techniques may help address certain formulation challenges, particularly poor solubility, volatility and difficulties associated with ingredient delivery. Nevertheless, the effects of encapsulation on ingredient release, stability, compatibility and antimicrobial activity must be evaluated in the finished product [1].

Sustainable extraction is another important area of development. Green extraction techniques aim to obtain useful plant-derived compounds while considering the environmental impact of

the extraction process. Research on green extraction of plant antimicrobials highlights the influence of solvent selection and extraction conditions on the chemical composition and biological activity of the resulting materials [9].

However, the environmental benefits of a natural ingredient should not be judged only by its plant origin. The complete process, including raw-material sourcing, extraction, processing, transportation and incorporation into the final formulation, should be considered when evaluating its sustainability [9].

7. Challenges and Limitations

7.1 Variability and Standardisation

Plants are not factories. Two batches of the same extract or essential oil can differ noticeably, because composition shifts with geographical location, species, growing conditions, harvest time, the plant part used, the extraction method and even storage [2,3]. That variation can carry through to antimicrobial activity, so one batch may protect a product better than the next [2,3].

This is where standardisation comes in. In practice it can mean confirming the botanical identity, fixing the extraction procedure, choosing marker compounds, setting limits for impurities and checking the microbiological quality of the raw material [1–3]. It matters most when the ingredient is expected to do real preservation work rather than just add fragrance or colour, since then the specification has to stay consistent from batch to batch [1].

7.2 Formulation Compatibility and Stability

A good ingredient on paper can behave very differently once it is stirred into a real product. Essential oils are a typical example. They are lipophilic, so in an emulsion much of the oil tends to settle in the oil phase, while the water phase, where many contaminating microbes actually grow, may receive far less than intended [1]. Dilution or a shift in pH can also blunt the activity of some natural compounds [1].

Other ingredients get involved too. Surfactants, emulsifiers, polymers, proteins and even the packaging can all influence how available or how stable an antimicrobial substance is [1]. Because of this, a stability study that only tracks appearance, odour and viscosity misses half the story. It should also confirm that the product is still protected against microbes at the end of its intended shelf life [1].

7.3 Safety and Consumer Acceptability

The term natural should not be treated as a guarantee of safety. Like other cosmetic ingredients, naturally derived antimicrobial substances must be assessed according to their

concentration, intended application, exposure conditions and potential to cause irritation or skin sensitisation [1,5].

Essential oils and botanical extracts may contain several chemical constituents, and their composition can differ between preparations. Therefore, the safety of one extract or essential oil cannot automatically be assumed for another material obtained from the same plant [2,3].

Consumer acceptability is another important consideration during formulation development. Strong odour and natural colour variations may affect the appearance and sensory characteristics of the final product. These properties can limit the concentration of an ingredient that can practically be used [1]. The selected concentration must therefore provide the intended antimicrobial contribution while remaining within acceptable safety and sensory limits [1,5].

7.4 Incomplete Antimicrobial Spectrum

Natural antimicrobials are not all equally good at everything. An ingredient may hold back some bacteria and still do little against other bacteria, yeasts or moulds [1]. That matters, because real contamination can involve any of these groups, so a preservation system should be tested against a representative set of organisms rather than judged on a single test [1].

The study by Kočevar Glavač and Lunder shows this well. They tested selected natural-origin antimicrobials in a cosmetic emulsion: some met the challenge-test criteria, while certain combinations of ingredient and microorganism did not [4]. In other words, seeing some antimicrobial activity is not the same as having broad-spectrum protection, and each ingredient has to be checked against the organisms that matter for the product it is going into [4].

7.5 Regulatory and Manufacturing Considerations

The selection and use of preservatives must comply with the cosmetic regulations applicable in the intended market [1]. Regulatory requirements may differ between countries and can influence which substances are permitted, their maximum concentrations and the conditions under which they may be used.

In the European Union, the regulatory framework for cosmetic products is established under Regulation (EC) No 1223/2009 [10].

Naturally derived ingredients are not automatically exempt from cosmetic safety, quality and labelling requirements. Their use must be consistent with the relevant regulatory framework [10].

Good manufacturing practices remain essential regardless of whether a formulation contains natural or synthetic preservatives. Proper control of incoming raw materials, manufacturing

hygiene, water quality, equipment cleaning and microbiological testing are all necessary to minimise contamination risks [1,5].

Even an effective preservative system cannot compensate for poor manufacturing practices or inadequate control of production conditions [1,5].

8. Evaluation of Preservative Efficacy

The real question is whether a preservative works in the finished product. Other ingredients and the product's own characteristics can change how an antimicrobial behaves, so a result from the isolated ingredient may not carry over once it is in a cream, lotion, shampoo or similar preparation [1,4].

The standard tool for this is the preservative-efficacy test, usually called a challenge test. Specified microorganisms are deliberately added to the product, and their viable counts are followed over set periods to see whether the preservation system keeps them under control under the conditions of the chosen method [1].

ISO 11930:2019 gives guidance on how to evaluate antimicrobial protection. It covers a preservative-efficacy test, along with a procedure for products that are not regarded as low risk under the microbiological risk-assessment approach in ISO 29621 [8]. It is aimed mainly at water-soluble and water-miscible products, and some adjustments may be needed where water is the internal phase [8].

Testing should also be read together with how the product is made, packed and stored, since these affect both contamination risk and how well the system performs over time [1]. A formulation that passes right after manufacture may still need to be re-checked after storage to confirm that its protection lasts for the full intended shelf life [1].

Kočevar Glavač and Lunder offer a useful example. They ran challenge tests based on ISO 11930 on selected natural-origin antimicrobials in an oil-in-water emulsion [4]. Their results are valuable experimental evidence, but they belong to the specific ingredients, concentrations, formulation and microorganisms in that study. They do not prove that every natural preservative will work in every cosmetic product [4].

9. Critical Comparison of the Evidence

Reading these studies side by side shows that they are not all answering the same question. The reviews by Halla et al. [1], Rybczyńska-Tkaczyk et al. [2] and Herman [3] map the range of possible ingredients and mechanisms, and they are generally framed around potential. The

experimental papers are more cautious: they report that activity depends on pH, on the microorganism and on the product the ingredient is placed in [4,16].

The two experimental studies used here also differ in design, which limits direct comparison. Seo et al. [16] measured MICs of weak organic acids in broth at fixed pH values and in food products. They found lower MICs at lower pH, and much higher MICs in a ground meat product than in broth at a similar pH. Kočevar Glavač and Lunder [4] ran challenge tests based on ISO 11930 on natural-origin antimicrobials in an oil-in-water cosmetic emulsion, and found that some ingredient and microorganism combinations met the criteria while others did not. One study reports inhibitory concentrations, the other reports pass or fail performance against a standard; one uses food-related bacteria, the other a cosmetic base. Their numbers cannot be pooled, but their message lines up: activity measured in a simple medium does not reliably predict performance in a real product.

The sources also differ in how far different ingredient groups can be interpreted. The reviews of plant-derived materials [2,3] point to variation with species, plant part and extraction method as a reason why reported activity is hard to reproduce. Organic acids are easier to interpret, because their activity can be linked to pH [1,16].

Among the sources considered here, only one tests natural-origin ingredients in a finished cosmetic emulsion [4], so most of the evidence sits at ingredient or model level. Future studies would be easier to compare if they reported pH, concentration, test organisms and formulation base in a consistent way, and used a common endpoint such as the criteria of ISO 11930 [8].

10. Future Perspectives

Further research is needed to establish a clearer relationship between the chemical composition of natural extracts and their antimicrobial performance in finished cosmetic products [2,3,9]. Improved standardisation of botanical identity, extraction procedures and selected marker compounds could help produce more consistent raw materials and make research findings easier to compare [2,3].

Another promising area involves studying combinations of natural antimicrobial substances with multifunctional cosmetic ingredients. Such combinations may help develop preservation systems with complementary antimicrobial effects while meeting formulation and consumer requirements [1]. However, their suitability must be confirmed through studies evaluating possible synergistic or antagonistic interactions, stability, safety and activity against relevant microorganisms in the finished product [1,4].

Advances in green extraction techniques and analytical characterization may also support the identification and development of plant-derived antimicrobial ingredients. Improved extraction methods could help obtain useful compounds while considering the environmental impact of the production process [9].

Future development should also consider the sustainability of raw-material sourcing and processing. Natural origin alone is not sufficient to establish that an ingredient is environmentally sustainable. Newly developed ingredients must continue to meet appropriate safety, quality and regulatory requirements before being introduced into cosmetic products [9,10].

11. CONCLUSION

Natural antimicrobial ingredients have become an important area of research in cosmetic formulation development. Organic acids, essential oils, botanical extracts, polyphenols, antimicrobial peptides and fermentation-derived substances have all been investigated for their potential role in controlling microbial growth or providing additional functions in cosmetic products [1–3].

Their potential advantages include plant-based sourcing and the possibility of offering additional biological or sensory benefits. However, their practical application is influenced by several factors, including variations in raw-material composition, formulation compatibility, stability, odour, safety and differences in antimicrobial activity against individual microorganisms [1–4].

The most important consideration is whether an ingredient can provide adequate antimicrobial protection in the finished cosmetic product. Activity observed during laboratory screening should be regarded as an initial indication of its potential rather than proof of successful preservation. Appropriate raw-material quality control, good manufacturing practices, microbiological assessment and preservative-efficacy testing are necessary to establish the suitability of the final formulation [1,4,8].

Therefore, naturally derived antimicrobial ingredients may have a valuable role in modern cosmetic preservation strategies. However, their selection should be based on scientific evidence and formulation-specific validation. They may complement an established preservation system, but should not automatically be considered replacements for conventional preservatives in every cosmetic preparation [1].

REFERENCES

1. Halla, N., Fernandes, I. P., Heleno, S. A., Costa, P., Boucherit-Otmani, Z., Boucherit, K., Rodrigues, A. E., Ferreira, I. C. F. R., & Barreiro, M. F. (2018). Cosmetics preservation: A review on present strategies. Molecules, 23(7), Article 1571. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23071571
2. Rybczyńska-Tkaczyk, K., Grenda, A., Jakubczyk, A., Kiersnowska, K., & Bik-Małodzińska, M. (2023). Natural compounds with antimicrobial properties in cosmetics. Pathogens, 12(2), 320.
3. Herman, A. (2019). Antimicrobial ingredients as preservative booster and components of self-preserving cosmetic products. Current Microbiology, 76, 744–754.
4. Kočevar Glavač, N., & Lunder, M. (2018). Preservative efficacy of selected antimicrobials of natural origin in a cosmetic emulsion. International Journal of Cosmetic Science, 40(3), 276–284. https://doi.org/10.1111/ics.12461
5. Lundov, M. D., Moesby, L., Zachariae, C., & Johansen, J. D. (2009). Contamination versus preservation of cosmetics: A review on legislation, usage, infections, and contact allergy. Contact Dermatitis, 60(2), 70-78
6. Tran, T. T., Hurley, F. J., Shurbaji, M., & Koopman, L. B. (1994). Adequacy of cosmetic preservation: Chemical analysis, microbial challenge and in-use testing. International Journal of Cosmetic Science, 16(2), 61–76.
7. Michalak, M. (2023). Plant extracts as skin care and therapeutic agents. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 24(20), Article 15444. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms242015444
8. International Organization for Standardization. (2019). ISO 11930:2019 Cosmetics—Microbiology—Evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of a cosmetic product.
9. Keita, S., Nahrwold, M., Fabiano-Tixier, A. S., & Abert Vian, M. (2026). Green extraction of plant antimicrobials for sustainable cosmetic formulations. Next Research, 12, Article 102312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nexres.2026.102312
10. European Parliament and Council. (2009). Regulation (EC) No 1223/2009 on cosmetic products.
11. Liu, J.-K. (2022). Natural products in cosmetics. Natural Products and Bioprospecting, 12, Article 40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13659-022-00363-y
12. Cunha, C., Ribeiro, H. M., Rodrigues, M., & Araujo, A. R. T. S. (2022). Essential oils used in dermocosmetics: Review about its biological activities. Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology, 21(2), 513–529. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.14652

13. International Organization for Standardization. (2014). ISO 17516:2014 Cosmetics—Microbiology—Microbiological limits. https://www.iso.org/standard/59938.html
14. Rumble, J. R. (Ed.). (2022). CRC handbook of chemistry and physics (103rd ed.). CRC Press.
15. National Center for Biotechnology Information. (2026). PubChem compound summary for CID 243, Benzoic acid; and CID 643460, Sorbic acid. National Library of Medicine. https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/243; https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/643460 (accessed 4 November 2026).
16. Seo, Y., Sung, M., Hwang, J., & Yoon, Y. (2023). Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of propionic acid, sorbic acid, and benzoic acid against food spoilage microorganisms in animal products to use MIC as threshold for natural preservative production. Food Science of Animal Resources, 43(2), 319–330. https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2022.e79